NaBITA

Data was collected from over 500 community colleges and four-year universities during June-August 2014.

Survey Respondent Demographics

4-year schools/traditional	67%
2-year schools/community	33%
Non-residential	36%
Residential	64%
Public	70%
Private	28%

Does your school have…

BIT/TAT/SOC team	94%
Counseling (mental health)	91%

School Size
(2012 in blue/2014 in red)

Team Leadership

Dean of Students	44%	Counseling	6%
VPSA	24%	Police/Safety	3%
Student Conduct	9%	Other/Misc	14%

Team Membership

Average Team Size: 8.6 members

Counseling	92%
Police/Campus Safety	88%
Dean of Students	75%
Housing and Residential Life	59%
Academic Affairs	53%
Health Services	40%
VP Student Affairs	40%
Faculty	30%
Human Resources	29%
Student Activities	21%
Case Manager	20%
Legal Counsel	17%
Admissions	8%
Greek Life	4%

Meeting Frequency
(2012 in blue/2014 in red)

BIT/TAT/Student of Concern Team

Teams with websites	49%	Number of meetings canceled each year	8%
Teams with Logo for BIT	13%	BIT vs. TAT focus for single team schools	89%/11%
Teams that monitor faculty/staff behavior	42%	Teams that also focus on faculty/staff	42%
Teams that keep centralized records	85%	Most schools have had a BIT for an average of 6 years	

Van Brunt, B., Sokolow, B., Lewis, W., & Schuster, S. (2014). NaBITA Team Survey. www.nabita.org

<table>
<tr><td colspan="2">How long has your team been around?</td></tr>
<tr><td>1-2 years</td><td>18%</td></tr>
<tr><td>3-4 years</td><td>28%</td></tr>
<tr><td>5-10 years</td><td>44%</td></tr>
<tr><td>10+ years</td><td>10%</td></tr>
</table>

How does your team seek referrals?	
Phone call	84%
Online report	76%
Director of team	65%
Anonymous report	45%
Central office contact	34%

If your team keeps records, what method do you use?

Method	%
MS Office	13%
Pen/paper files	15%
Simplicity	13%
In house design	7%
Maxient	32%
Other	18%

Caseload

- Psychological 45%
- Major conduct 11%
- Academic dishonesty 6%
- Minor conduct 24%
- AOD 15%

Internal BIT Training

Webinars	63%
Books and Journals	36%
Other conferences	38%
Tabletop exercises	36%
No training yet	12%
NaBITA conference	29%
NCHERM consultants	20%
Other consultants	13%

BIT websites contain:

Contact phone	58%
Contact email	55%
Mission statement	48%
List of behaviors to report	52%
Team membership list	47%
Online report form	49%
FAQ about team	21%
Faculty classroom guide	14%
Team polices	9%
Risk Rubric	8%

How BITs Advertise

Train staff and faculty	80%
Website	63%
Orientation events	60%
Handouts and flyers	38%
School paper	9%
We don't advertise	9%

Measurement of Risk

Subjective method	50%
Objective method	50%

Objective Methods:

NaBITA/CUBIT Tool	57%
SIVRA-35	14%
WAVR-21	4%
Miscellaneous*	14%

*Includes: MOSAIC, ATAP, Factor One, Deisinger's Handbook, homegrown

As part of the survey, some teams shared sample websites:

University of Oklahoma	www.ou.edu/normanbit/
Morgan Community College	www.morgancc.edu/about-mcc/bit/
Boise State University	http://care.boisestate.edu
Ozarks Technical College	www.otc.edu/otccares/
Harper Community College	http://harpercollege.edu/heat
Northern Virginia Community	www.nvcc.edu/NOVACares
CSU San Bernardino	www.csusb.edu/careteam/
Grand Rapids Community	www.grcc.edu/behavioralinterventionteam
U of Colorado Boulder	www.colorado.edu/studentaffairs/students-concern

Van Brunt, B., Sokolow, B., Lewis, W., & Schuster, S. (2014). NaBITA Team Survey. www.nabita.org